GEN	ERAL INFORMATION				
KEYWORDS	Category 3 aviation medical certificate, category 4				
	aviation medical certificate, mental health, medication,				
	cannabis				
FILE NO.	Q-4435-28				
SECTOR (Marine or Aviation)	Aviation				
SPECIFIC JOB	Unknown				
DIAGNOSIS (Primary, Secondary,	Primary: Post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD)				
etc.)	Secondary: Possible autism spectrum disorder -				
	Asperger's syndrome				
Tertiary: Fibromyalgia					
REVIEW					
DATE OF DETERMINATION	February 24, 2020				
MEMBER	Dr. Robert Perlman				
DETERMINATION	The Minister's decision is confirmed.				
REASONS FOR THE	Refusal to issue a category 3 or category 4 aviation				
DETERMINATION	medical certificate — The Minister of Transport's				
	evidence clearly shows that the applicant has been using				
	cannabis every night since 2017, primarily to control				
	her sleep and reduce anxiety related to PTSD, as well as				
	the pain caused by fibromyalgia. The refusal to issue a				
	medical certificate was validly based on paragraphs				
	3.3(a) and 4.3(b) of the Canadian Aviation Regulations				
	Standard 424.17(4), Physical And Mental Requirements				
	Table, Medical Category 3, and on the Transport				
	Canada (TC) Civil Aviation Medicine Cannabis Policy (Cannabis Policy). TC's Cannabis Policy prohibits the				
	general use of cannabis and requires a 28-day				
	abstinence period between the consumption of cannabis				
	and the next flight. Currently, cannabis use by pilots in				
	general is considered unacceptable by almost all				
	national regulatory bodies in the field of aviation.				
	According to extensive case law, the Minister has				
	discretionary power as to how to apply the Aeronautics				
	Act and in the issuance of or refusal to issue an aviation				
	document, public safety being paramount. The				
	applicant is not ready to stop her chronic cannabis use,				
	citing her need for medical treatment with low-dose				
	cannabis. Taking "small" daily doses of cannabis, which				
	may be indirectly supported by the research articles				
	filed in evidence by the applicant cannot be considered				
	"safe" based on the evidence.				
APPEAL					
DATE OF DECISION					
MEMBERS					
DECISION THE DECISION					
REASONS FOR THE DECISION					
O	OTHER/COMMENTS				